



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED  
MAR - 1 2002  
TC 2800 MAIL ROOMResponse Amdt  
B  
# 14  
T.D.  
03/05/02

In re patent application of

Coppersmith

Serial No. 09/182,279

Examiner Nguyen, Cuong H.

Filed October 29, 21998

Art Unit 2165

For SYSTEM FOR PROTECTING GOODS AGAINST COUNTERFEITING

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111

RECEIVED  
MAR 04 2002  
Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

In response to the office action mailed November 27, 2002 the applicant requests reconsideration upon entry of the following amendment and consideration of the following remarks.

In the Claims:

Please cancel claim 14.

## REMARKS

Claim 14 has been canceled making moot any rejection thereof. The application includes claims 1-13 and 15-21.

The office action mailed November 27, 2002 is improper. The Examiner has not pointed out with specificity how various claims are rejected. Rather, he has indicated in the conclusion that all claims are rejected, but in the body of the office action itself he has not accurately set forth how and why the claims are rejected. As best can be understood from the office action, the undersigned believes that the Examiner is taking the position that the all claims are obvious over some or all of the